



**Powys County Council**  
**Local Development Plan**

**Habitats Regulations Assessment  
Screening of  
Matters Arising Changes  
and  
Minor Matters Arising Changes**

**September 2017**

## How to Comment

**Important: Please read before making comments on this consultation document.**

Comments on the Matters Arising Changes can be submitted during the consultation period either:

- By email to [ldp@powys.gov.uk](mailto:ldp@powys.gov.uk)
- By mail to The LDP Team, Planning Policy, Powys County Council, The Gwalia, Llandrindod Wells, LD1 6AA.

Comments via E-mail or Post need to be submitted using the '**Matters Arising Changes Representation Form**'. Copies of the form are available on request at the Council's 4 main offices and libraries or an editable version is available to download from the Council's website: [www.powys.gov.uk/ldp](http://www.powys.gov.uk/ldp).

It should be noted that comments received cannot be treated as confidential. All comments will be made available for public inspection.

All comments must be received in writing by the close of the consultation period, that is, **5:00pm on Monday the 30<sup>th</sup> October 2017**. Comments received after the deadline will not be duly made and will not be accepted.

## CONTENTS

Section		Page
	<b>Executive Summary</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Screening of Matters Arising Changes and Minor Matters Arising Change</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Appendix 1</b>	<b>Screening of Matters Arising Changes</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Appendix 2</b>	<b>Screening of Minor Matters Arising Changes</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>Appendix 3</b>	<b>Screening of Matters arising Changes Regarding Proposals and Inset Maps</b>	<b>73</b>
<b>Appendix 4</b>	<b>Proposed Revised Wording to LDP Policy DM2</b>	<b>83</b>
<b>Appendix 5</b>	<b>Proposed Revised Wording to LDP Appendix 3</b>	<b>86</b>

## **Executive Summary**

Following submission of the Powys Local Development Plan (LDP) to the Welsh Government in January 2016 an independent examination is being undertaken to assess the soundness of the LDP. A series of Hearing Sessions subsequently took place over a 17 week period commencing on 28th March 2017. As a result of matters arising during the Hearing Sessions a number of proposed Matters Arising Changes to the LDP have emerged.

Screening of each Matters Arising Change and Minor Matters Arising Change has been undertaken to consider the nature and significance of the changes and whether the changes proposed would affect or alter the conclusions of the previous HRA Screening Assessments.

None of the Matters Arising Changes or Minor Matters Arising Changes were judged to have the potential to affect any of the European sites, above or beyond those potential impacts already considered in the previous HRA assessments. No changes are considered to result in a Likely Significant Effect, when considering the existing mitigation measures provided for by the LDP in its policies, on any of the European sites considered (identified in the HRA Screening Report (June 2015)) either alone or in combination with other plans or projects.

It is therefore concluded that an Appropriate Assessment of the implications of the Plan as a result of the Matters Arising Changes and Minor Matters Arising Changes for the International sites, in view of their conservation objectives, is not necessary in this case, in accordance with regulation 102 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010.

## 1. Introduction

Following submission of the Powys Local Development Plan (LDP) to the Welsh Government in January 2016 an independent examination is being undertaken to assess the soundness of the LDP. The LDP Examination in Public commenced in January 2016 and a Pre-hearing Meeting was held on 7th February 2017. A series of Hearing Sessions subsequently took place over a 17 week period commencing on 28th March 2017. As a result of matters arising during the Hearing Sessions a number of proposed changes to the LDP have emerged.

As part of the ongoing Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) process these Matters Arising Changes and Minor Matters Arising Changes have been reviewed. This document outlines the findings of the HRA Screening of the Matters Arising Changes and Minor Matters Arising Changes. The HRA process for the LDP has produced the following reports to date:

- Habitats Regulations Assessment Screening Report (June 2015)
- Habitat Regulation Assessment Screening of Focussed Changes (January 2016)
- Habitat Regulation Assessment Screening of Further Focussed Changes (October 2016)
- Habitats Regulations Assessment Screening of Matters Arising Changes and Minor Matters Arising Changes (September 2017) – This Report.

This report should be read in conjunction with the Powys Local Development Plan 2011 – 2026 1/4/2011 to 31/3/2026 Written Statement Composite Version incorporating Matters Arising Changes (September 2017), Powys Local Development Plan 2011 – 2026 1/4/2011 to 31/3/2026 Schedule of Matters Arising Changes Written Statement (September 2017), Schedule of Matters Arising Changes Proposals and Inset Maps (September 2017) as well as the previous HRA Assessment Reports.

Screening of each Matters Arising Change and Minor Matters Arising Change was undertaken to consider the nature and significance of the changes and whether the changes proposed would affect or alter the conclusions of the previous HRA Screening Assessments.

The review and screening of the majority of the Matters Arising Changes and Minor Matters Arising Changes were found to be minor in nature, for example relating to amendments for clarification purposes e.g. corrections to text or addition of detail to the text – these changes have been made to ensure that the LDP is clear and conforms to National guidance/policy. In this context the majority of changes proposed will not change the conclusions reached in the previous HRA assessments.

For many of the proposed Matters Arising Changes the amendments or addition of criterion within policies and supporting text strengthen and reinforce the requirements to protect and enhance biodiversity including the requirements in relation to the protection of European sites when considering proposed development.

The screening process identified a number of new policies created as a result of the proposed Matters Arising Changes. As these policies are new to the Plan they were identified as requiring HRA Screening to determine whether they would be likely to

result in a Likely Significant Effect to any of the European sites (SAC, SPA and Ramsar Sites), these new policies are the result of:

- Amalgamation or separation of policies in the previous version of the Plan;
- New policies to the Plan.

None of the Matters Arising Changes or Minor Matters Arising Changes were judged to have the potential to affect any of the European sites, above or beyond those potential impacts already considered in the previous HRA assessments. No changes are considered to result in a Likely Significant Effect, when considering the existing mitigation measures provided for by the LDP in its policies, on any of the European sites considered (identified in the HRA Screening Report (June 2015)) either alone or in combination with other plans or projects.

## **2. Screening of Matters Arising Changes and Minor Matters Arising Changes**

The review and screening of the Schedule of Matters Arising Changes and Minor Matters Arising Changes has found that the majority of the changes seek to provide further clarification, ensure consistency and accuracy, and ensure conformity with higher level (national) planning policy guidance. These changes are considered to be minor and do not significantly affect the findings of the previous HRA work.

The screening process identified a number of new policies created as a result of the proposed Matters Arising Changes. As these policies are new to the Plan they were identified as requiring HRA Screening to determine whether they would be likely to result in a Likely Significant Effect to any of the European sites, these new policies are the result of:

- Amalgamation or separation of policies in the previous version of the Plan;
- New policies to the Plan.

Further details of the screening of new policies identified during the HRA Screening of the Matters Arising Changes are provided below and in Appendix 1 of this report.

The screening process also identified changes to the Proposals and inset Maps of the Plan as a result of the proposed Matters Arising Changes (section 2.3 of this report).

### **2.1 Amalgamation and Separation of Policies**

A number of the new policies identified are as a result of the amalgamation or separation of policies in the previous version of the Plan, where this is the case the details of the policy are not new to the Plan but have been presented in a different format to that in the previous version of Plan in order to provide clarity, coherence and consistency throughout the Plan.

As such the content of these policies has been assessed in previous HRA Screening Assessments (June 2015, January 2016 or October 2016) and were found not result in a Likely Significant Effect to any of the European sites (identified in the HRA Screening Report (June 2015)) either alone or in combination with other Plans or projects, and the

changes would therefore not result in any changes to the findings of the Draft Deposit HRA Screening Reports (June 2015, January 2016, October 2017).

Further details of new policies of this nature is provided below:

### **MAC100**

Merges policies H5 and H7 into new Policy H6 relating to affordable housing exception sites.

The changes made to amalgamate and consolidate previous policies H5 and H7 into one specific Affordable Housing Exception Sites policy and in so doing will improve the clarity and effectiveness of the Plan.

The new policy does not contain information new to the Plan, instead policy information has been presented in a different format to that in previous version of Plan. Changes also provide clarity, coherence and consistency throughout the Plan.

The change will have no effect on the outcome of the previous HRA assessments. No further HRA Screening Required.

### **MAC106**

Inserts a new policy due to subdivision of Policy H10 to provide a site allocation policy.

Changes made to improve the clarity and effectiveness of the Plan. Changes made to separate previous Policy H10 into two separate policies.

The new policy does not contain any new information which would result in a potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. Policy information has been presented in a different format to that in previous versions of the Plan. Changes also provide clarity, coherence and consistency throughout the Plan.

The change will have no effect on the outcome of the previous HRA assessments. No further HRA Screening Required.

### **MAC120**

Amends the text to reflect previous Policy W1 relating to the location of waste developments being sub-divided into two separate policies

The changes have been made to improve the clarity and effectiveness of the Plan, with updating amendments and re-location of text with inclusion of a reference to TAN21 in the reasoned justification text.

The new policy does not contain information new to the Plan, instead policy information has been presented in a different format to that in previous versions of the Plan. Changes also provide clarity, coherence and consistency throughout the Plan.

The change will have no effect on the outcome of the previous HRA assessments. No further HRA Screening Required.

### **MAC121**

Inserts new Policy W2 relating to waste management proposals.

The changes have been made to improve the clarity and effectiveness of the Plan. Changes made to separate previous Policy W1 into two separate policies.

The new policy does not contain information new to the Plan, instead policy information has been presented in a different format to that in previous versions of the Plan. Changes also provide clarity, coherence and consistency throughout the Plan.

The change will have no effect on the outcome of the previous HRA assessments. No further HRA Screening Required.

## **2.2 New Policies to the Plan**

A number of new policies have also been identified as a result of the Matters Arising Changes, these policies are new to the Plan and therefore have not been subject to HRA Screening in the previous versions of the Plan. As new policies to the Plan these need to be screened to determine whether they would be likely to result in a Likely Significant Effect to European sites (SAC, SPA, and Ramsar Sites) and to determine whether a more detailed Appropriate Assessment would be required.

### **MAC 47**

Deletes previous Policy DM3 and replaces it with new Policy DM4 relating to landscape to provide clear definition of where the policy applies and its implementation; and expands on the role of LANDMAP as a tool for assessing proposals in the reasoned justification.

The new Policy has been assessed against the criteria identified in Table 5 of the Draft Deposit HRA Screening Report (June 2015) The new policy was found to meet the criteria of Category A1 – i.e. the policy itself will not itself lead to development e.g. because it relates to design or other qualitative criteria for development, or it is not a land use planning policy, or it relates to a type of development that could not have any conceivable effect on a European site.

Following HRA Screening it has been determined that the new policy would not result in a Likely Significant Effect to any European sites (SAC, SPA, Ramsar Sites) or their associated features – either alone or in combination with other Plans or projects.

### **MAC71**

Inserts new Policy DM15 relating to waste within developments.

The new policy has been assessed against the criteria identified in Table 5 of the Draft Deposit HRA Screening Report (June 2015). The new policy was found to meet the

criteria of Category A1 – i.e. the policy itself will not itself lead to development e.g. because it relates to design or other qualitative criteria for development, or it is not a land use planning policy, or it relates to a type of development that could not have any conceivable effect on a European site.

Following HRA Screening it has been determined that the new policy would not result in a Likely Significant Effect to any European sites (SAC, SPA, Ramsar Sites) or their associated features – either alone or in combination with other Plans or projects.

#### **MAC81**

Inserts new Policy E4 to safeguard existing employment sites, as a result of revising Policy DM16 to deal with protection of existing employment sites.

The new policy has been assessed against the criteria identified in Table 5 of the Draft Deposit HRA Screening Report (June 2015). The new policy was found to meet the criteria of Category A1 – i.e. the policy itself will not itself lead to development e.g. because it relates to design or other qualitative criteria for development, or it is not a land use planning policy, or it relates to a type of development that could not have any conceivable effect on a European site.

Following HRA Screening it has been determined that the new policy would not result in a Likely Significant Effect to any European sites (SAC, SPA, Ramsar Sites) or their associated features – either alone or in combination with other Plans or projects.

#### **MAC84**

Inserts new Policy E6 relating to farm diversification.

The new policy has been assessed against the criteria identified in Table 5 of the Draft Deposit HRA Screening Report (June 2015). The new policy was found to meet the criteria of Category A1 – i.e. the policy itself will not itself lead to development e.g. because it relates to design or other qualitative criteria for development, or it is not a land use planning policy, or it relates to a type of development that could not have any conceivable effect on a European site.

Following HRA Screening it has been determined that the new policy would not result in a Likely Significant Effect to any European sites (SAC, SPA, Ramsar Sites) or their associated features – either alone or in combination with other Plans or projects.

#### **MAC86**

Inserts new Policy E7 relating to home working.

The new policy has been assessed against the criteria identified in Table 5 of the Draft Deposit HRA Screening Report (June 2015). The new policy was found to meet the criteria of Category A1 – i.e. the policy itself will not itself lead to development e.g. because it relates to design or other qualitative criteria for development, or it is not a land use planning policy, or it relates to a type of development that could not have any conceivable effect on a European site.

Following HRA Screening it has been determined that the new policy would not result in a Likely Significant Effect to any European sites (SAC, SPA, Ramsar Sites) or their associated features – either alone or in combination with other Plans or projects.

### **MAC123**

Deletes policy and replaces it with new Policy RE1 relating to renewable energy to reflect:

- Introduction of solar photovoltaic (PV) Local Search Areas (LSAs);
- Additional criteria to determine applications.

Policy RE1 – Renewable Energy has been revised to remove Local Search Areas (LSAs) for Wind Energy Development, Criterion 1 of the revised policy sets out a strategic approach to the siting of Wind Energy Development based on the scale of the proposed energy generation.

Criterion 2 of the revised policy set outs a strategic approach to the siting of Solar Photovoltaic Energy Development based on the scale of the proposed energy generation.

The revised policy identifies that solar photovoltaic energy developments between 5-50MW of energy generation will be directed to appropriate locations within the boundaries of Local Search Areas (Solar LSAs). Outside Solar LSAs, solar PV proposals will only be permitted where they comply with policy criteria.

The identification of Solar LSAs has been made based on the results and recommendations of the *Powys Renewable Energy Assessment (REA) 2017* (AECOM) and *Landscape Sensitivity Study 2017* (ENPLAN).

The methodology used to identify Local Search Areas (Solar LSAs) has followed the methodology set out in the Welsh Government *Planning for Renewable and Low Carbon Energy - A Toolkit for Planners September 2015* which includes specific provisions with regards to incorporating Environmental Constraints including European sites and their associated features into the assessment process. In addition guidance from Natural Resources Wales (NRW) regarding buffer zones with regards to specific mobile features of European sites has been incorporated into the assessment process.

The identification of Solar LSAs does not provide any guarantee that applications for schemes will be approved within them, nor do they preclude applications from elsewhere in the county from being considered. In addition Solar LSAs are not safeguarded. As such they represent the optimum areas of the county where it is thought such schemes would be most viable (in terms of the stated environmental constraints and availability of resource, etc.).

Specific provisions have been made within the policy to reinforce the need for proposals to ensure the protection of European sites and their associated features either alone or in combination with other Plans or projects, where this cannot be demonstrated the proposal would be considered unacceptable. Criterion 4 identifies that proposals for all types of renewable and low carbon energy development and associated infrastructure either on their own, cumulatively or in combination with existing and or approved

development, must comply with all other relevant policies in the LDP but in particular shall not have an unacceptable impact on:

- ii. The natural and historic environment in accordance with Policy DM2 – The Natural Environment and Strategic Policy SP7 – Safeguarding Strategic Resources and Assets;

In addition Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG) will be produced to support the Renewable Energy policy.

The revised policy has been assessed against the criteria identified in Table 5 of the Draft Deposit HRA Screening Report (June 2015), the revised policy has been found to meet the criteria of Category E2 Lower Tier Assessment – the policy makes provision for a type of development, generally, (and may indicate a broad scale and / or one or more broad locations e.g. a particular part of the Plan area). Some limited uncertainty to the precise nature, scale and type of development remains. However, any development would be subject to policies contained in the LDP and the Habitats Regulations which will ensure that development does not adversely affect European sites.

In summary, the screening of the proposed Matters Arising Changes to the LDP Policy RE1 – Renewable Energy have been assessed as not resulting in any changes to the findings of the Draft Deposit HRA Screening Report (June 2015), the Habitat Regulation Assessment Screening of Focussed Changes (January 2016) or Habitat Regulation Assessment Screening of Further Focussed Changes (October 2016).

The changes proposed to LDP Policy RE1 are not considered to result in a Likely Significant Effect to any of the European sites (identified in the HRA Screening Report (June 2015)) or their associated features either alone or in combination with other Plans or projects when considering the criteria of the policy itself as well as existing provisions made by the LDP in other policies with regards to the protection of European sites.

Therefore an Appropriate Assessment is not considered necessary.

### **MAC126**

Inserts new Policy M4 relating to minerals proposals.

The new policy has been assessed against the criteria identified in Table 5 of the Draft Deposit HRA Screening Report (June 2015). The new policy was found to meet the criteria of Category A1 – i.e. the policy itself will not itself lead to development e.g. because it relates to design or other qualitative criteria for development, or it is not a land use planning policy, or it relates to a type of development that could not have any conceivable effect on a European site.

Specific provisions have been made within the policy to reinforce the need for proposals to ensure the protection of European sites and their associated features either alone or in combination with other Plans or projects, where this cannot be demonstrated the proposal would be considered unacceptable. Criterion 6 identifies that proposals for mineral extraction development and associated infrastructure will only be permitted where they would not result in any significant adverse impacts upon the environment including sites of nature conservation importance and any adverse impacts are demonstrated to be acceptable

Following HRA Screening it has been determined that the new policy would not result in a Likely Significant Effect to any European sites (SAC, SPA, Ramsar Sites) or their associated features – either alone or in combination with other Plans or projects.

### **MAC128**

Inserts new Policy M5 relating to restoration and aftercare.

The new policy has been assessed against the criteria identified in Table 5 of the Draft Deposit HRA Screening Report (June 2015). The new policy was found to meet the criteria of Category A1 – i.e. the policy itself will not itself lead to development e.g. because it relates to design or other qualitative criteria for development, or it is not a land use planning policy, or it relates to a type of development that could not have any conceivable effect on a European site.

Following HRA Screening it has been determined that the new policy would not result in a Likely Significant Effect to any European sites (SAC, SPA, Ramsar Sites) or their associated features – either alone or in combination with other Plans or projects.

## **2.3 Matters Arising Changes Regarding Proposals and Inset Maps**

The review and screening of the Matters Arising Changes and Minor Matters Arising Changes to the Powys LDP included changes made to the associated Proposals and Inset Maps.

Screening of the Matters Arising Changes of the Proposals and Inset Maps has found that the majority relate to consequential changes made to reflect associated amendments made to policies within the Plan. These changes have been assessed during consideration of the Matters Arising Changes of the Plan and associated policy changes, the proposed changes were assessed as not having potential to affect any of the European sites, above or beyond those potential impacts already considered in the previous HRA assessments. As such the consequential changes made to Proposals and Inset Maps would also not have potential to affect any of the European sites, above or beyond those potential impacts already considered in the previous HRA assessments.

Further details of the screening of the Matters Arising Changes in relation to Proposals and Inset Maps is provided in Appendix 3 of this report.

With regards to the Minor Matters Arising Changes made to the Proposals and Inset Maps these changes have been identified as additions and deletions to provide clarification, correction (factual and typographical), and consistency across the Plan documents. These changes are considered to be minor in nature and do not significantly affect the findings of the previous HRA assessments.

## **2.4 Recommendation Regarding Policy DM2**

Matters Arising Changes (MAC41) have been proposed to Policy DM2 relating to the natural environment. The purpose of the changes is to insert additional text to differentiate the weight and testing that is to be applied to the different designations at European, national and local levels; to identify further local site designations; revision of terminology used; to delete reference to 'net gain'; and amendments for clarity.

The change relates to restructuring or reorganising the policy, which does not significantly alter the meaning of the policy – the purpose of the policy is to safeguard biodiversity including the protection of European sites.

No further HRA Screening Required.

Whilst the proposed changes would not result in a potential to impact upon a European site it is considered that the layout and organisation of the text within the policy could result in misinterpretation of the specific requirements relating to different designations, sites and species. As such it is recommended that the policy is restructured to ensure clarity and a revised Policy DM2 is provided in Appendix 4 of this report. The recommended changes would not significantly alter the meaning of the policy and therefore if the recommended revision of the wording is accepted then it is considered that there would be no requirement for further HRA Screening of the Policy.

## **2.5 Recommendation Regarding LDP Appendix 3**

As a result of the HRA Screening it has been identified that consideration should be given to amending Appendix 3 of the Plan and the associated table. It is considered that the information set out within the current version of Appendix 3 of the Plan is misleading, it has the potential to be misinterpreted which could result in the incorrect consideration of relevant European sites during the Development Management process.

As such it is recommended that Appendix 3 of the Plan is amended to ensure clarity and provide a list of European sites which have the potential to be impacted by development proposals within Powys including those European sites wholly or partially within the area of the County of Powys and European sites which are located outside of the County of Powys but within 15 kilometres of the Powys boundary and have therefore been identified as within the influence of the Powys LDP.

A revised Appendix 3 of the Plan is provided in Appendix 5 of this report. The recommended changes would not significantly alter the meaning of Appendix 3 of the Plan and therefore if the recommended revision of the wording is accepted then it is considered that there would be no requirement for further HRA Screening of the changes.

### **3. Conclusion**

The majority of the Matters Arising Changes and Minor Matters Arising Changes relate to changes to the text of a policy or its supporting text in order to provide clarity and to ensure the Plan is in accordance with the requirements of the National Guidance and Policies.

Overall, the minor amendments, policy strengthening and additional policies proposed through the Matters Arising Changes and Minor Matters Arising Changes are not considered to significantly affect the findings of the previous HRA Assessments.

The screening review process assessed that none of the Matters Arising Changes or Minor Matters Arising Changes to policy wording require further HRA screening. The changes proposed are not considered to be significant and serve to clarify or enhance the policy guidance set out by the Plan.

HRA Screening of the identified new policies to the Plan has determined that the new policies would not result in a Likely Significant Effect to any European sites (SAC, SPA, Ramsar Sites) or their associated features – either alone or in combination with other Plans or projects.

It is therefore concluded that an Appropriate Assessment of the implications of the Plan as a result of the Matters Arising Changes and Minor Matters Arising Changes for the International sites, in view of their conservation objectives, is not necessary in this case, in accordance with regulation 102 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010.

**APPENDIX 1: SCREENING OF MATTERS ARISING CHANGES**

Matters Arising Change Reference	Plan Section	Nature of Proposed Change	Reason for Change/Evidence	Additional HRA Screening Required?
MAC1	Executive Summary	Amend the number of affordable homes target in 4 <sup>th</sup> paragraph	To reflect the amended affordable housing target of the LDP	Affordable housing is an element of the overall housing delivery target which has not changed, and has been assessed as part of the HRA process. The increase in the affordable housing target is therefore unlikely to significantly affect the findings of the previous HRA. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC2	1.0 Introduction	Insertion of New paragraphs 1.5 and 1.7	Update the relevant text in the LDP regarding changes to national planning legislation and guidance and the Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015 to clarify that the Act does not apply to the LDP	This change proposes the inclusion of factual details, which do not promote any new development. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC3	2.0 The LDP's Context	Amendment of paragraph 2.2.2	Amend to clarify the extent of the LDP area	This change proposes the inclusion of factual details, which do not promote any new development. No further HRA Screening Required.

MAC4	2.0 The LDP's Context	Amend paragraph 2.2.3 and insert new paragraphs 2.2.8-2.2.9	To expand the discussion of characteristics of the main settlement areas and their connections	The change does not relate to a specific policy, and therefore cannot affect any European sites when the LDP is implemented. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC5	2.0 The LDP's Context	Amend paragraph 2.2.12	To include reference to intensive agriculture and tourism in the LDP area	The change does not relate to a specific policy, and therefore cannot affect any European sites when the LDP is implemented. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC6	2.0 The LDP's Context	Amend paragraph 2.2.13	To expand description of the main settlement areas and their connections	The change is minor, and relates to clarification of wording, which does not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC7	2.0 The LDP's Context	Insert new paragraph 2.3.8.	To refer to legislation and national policy documents	This change proposes the inclusion of factual details, which do not promote any new development. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC8	2.0 The LDP's Context	Amend paragraphs 2.3.12-2.3.14	To provide earlier mention of how the LDP interacts with other LDPs	The change does not relate to a specific policy, and therefore cannot affect any European sites when the LDP is implemented. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC9	2.0 The LDP's Context	Deletion of text from paragraphs 2.3.20 – 2.3.21	To avoid confusion between high-level strategies in terms of targets and definition of housing need.	The change does not relate to a specific policy, and therefore cannot affect any European sites when the LDP is implemented. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC10	3.0 The LDP Strategy	Insert additional text in paragraph 3.0.1 (ii) (b)	Insert reference to safeguarding resources	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the Strategy which

				will benefit biodiversity – strategic resources and assets include biodiversity - and may have a positive effect upon European sites. The change will have no effect on the outcome of the HRA. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC11	3.0 The LDP Strategy	Insert additional text in paragraph 3.1.3	Insert cross-references to key issues under LDP Objectives 1, 2, 6, 9, 10 and 16	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the Strategy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC12	3.0 The LDP Strategy	Insert reference in paragraph 3.1.3	To align the objective with amendments to Policy SP5 and Policy SP6	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the Strategy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC13	3.0 The LDP Strategy	Insert additional wording in paragraph 3.1.3	To address matters identified in Statement of Common Ground between PCC, SPEN and WPD (ED078)	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the Strategy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC14	3.0 The LDP Strategy	Insert additional wording in paragraph 3.1.3	To reflect the scope of the revised Policy SP7	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the Strategy which

				does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC15	3.0 The LDP Strategy	Delete wording in paragraph 3.1.3	To align with revised wording of Policy DM2	The change relates to the deletion of existing text from the LDP objective which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC16	3.0 The LDP Strategy	Insert additional wording into the Strategy Statement in paragraph 3.2.1	To ensure that historic environment features are dealt with by the LDP	The change relates to the insertion of new text into LDP Strategy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC17	3.0 The LDP Strategy	Re-word 3.2 (a) of the Strategy Section	To clarify how components work and interrelate	This change proposes the inclusion of factual details, which do not promote any new development. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC18	3.0 The LDP Strategy	Amend text in paragraph 3.2.8 Paragraphs 3.4.2-3.4.3 deleted	For brevity and clarity	The change is minor, and relates to accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the Strategy. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC19	3.0 The LDP Strategy	Amend description of settlement hierarchy in paragraph 3.2.9-3.2.10 Paragraph 3.4.5 deleted	For clarity	The change is minor, and relates to accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of

				the Strategy. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC20	3.0 The LDP Strategy	Amend paragraph 3.2.11 to refer to the undeveloped coast as included within the Open Countryside	For clarity	The change is minor, and relates to accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the Strategy. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC21	3.0 The LDP Strategy	Amended paragraph 3.2.23 and additional text paragraphs 3.2.25 – 3.2.26	To clarify the approach towards housing development in Rural Settlements	The change is minor, and relates to accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the Strategy. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC22	3.0 The LDP Strategy	Paragraph 3.2.27 and new paragraph 3.2.28 amend hierarchy	To include undeveloped coast	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the Strategy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC23	3.0 The LDP Strategy	Insertion of amended text in paragraph 3.2.30 and new paragraph 3.2.31	To describe how the Spatial Strategy supports the safeguarding strategic resources and assets	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the Strategy which will benefit biodiversity – strategic resources and assets include biodiversity - and may have a positive effect upon European sites The change will have no effect on the outcome of the HRA. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC24	3.0 The LDP Strategy	Additional text new paragraphs 3.3.6-3.3.8	To confirm the Council's position following the	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the Strategy which

			release of Welsh Government's 2014 household projections	does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC25	3.0 The LDP Strategy	Insert additional text in paragraph 3.3.10	To make reference to the Planning Performance Framework	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the Strategy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC26	3.0 The LDP Strategy	Policy SP3 - Delete superfluous text. Amend policy to include a revised Affordable Housing Target	To set out the measures for affordable housing provision separately; and to make reference to affordable housing remaining available to those in local need	Affordable housing is an element of the overall housing delivery target which has not changed, and has been assessed as part of the HRA process. The increase in the affordable housing target is therefore unlikely to significantly affect the findings of the previous HRA. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC27	3.0 The LDP Strategy	Amend paragraph 3.3.18 to reflect the revised LDP Affordable Housing Target, insert an authority wide-target for affordable homes, and additional wording	For consistency with Policy H5	Affordable housing is an element of the overall housing delivery target which has not changed, and has been assessed as part of the HRA process. The increase in the affordable housing target is therefore unlikely to significantly affect the findings of the previous

				HRA. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC28	3.0 The LDP Strategy	Amend the number of affordable homes from allocated sites in paragraph 3.3.19 – sub paragraph 2.	As part of the revised LDP Affordable Housing Target	Affordable housing is an element of the overall housing delivery target which has not changed, and has been assessed as part of the HRA process. The increase in the affordable housing target is therefore unlikely to significantly affect the findings of the previous HRA. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC29	3.0 The LDP Strategy	Insert additional text new paragraphs 3.3.20-3.3.23	To explain the approach towards exception policies, size, type and tenure, securing affordable housing in perpetuity, and the definition of local need, as a consequence of moving aspects into Policy SP3 from other affordable housing policies	The change relates to restructuring or reorganising the policy, which does not significantly alter the meaning of the policy. The change is minor, and relates accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC30	3.0 The LDP Strategy	Policy SP4 - Delete superfluous text Amend policy	To clarify the expected split of convenience / comparison and details of overall floor space, and to clarify the approach to	The change is minor, and relates accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.

			convenience and comparison floor space	
MAC31	3.0 The LDP Strategy	Policy SP5 - Insert reference to the Undeveloped Coast	To clarify how the LDP takes this into account as required by Planning Policy Wales:	The change is minor, and relates accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC32	3.0 The LDP Strategy	Policy SP5 - Amend definition of Rural Settlements	For clarity	The change is minor, and relates accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC33	3.0 The LDP Strategy	Policy SP6 - Amend parts of the table	To reflect changes to Policy H1 and to refer to the approach towards the undeveloped coast and agricultural development	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC34	3.0 The LDP Strategy	Amendments and additional text in paragraph 3.3.31, and new paragraphs 3.3.35-3.3.36	For clarity and to explain the approach in the LDP towards the open countryside including the undeveloped coast	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC35	3.0 The LDP Strategy	Policy SP7 - Amend text	To identify further strategic resources and assets to be safeguarded by the LDP and to remove	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that

			reference to names of specific National Trails	assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC36	3.0 The LDP Strategy	Amend wording in paragraph 3.3.37, and additional text new paragraph 3.3.38, and paragraph 3.3.40	For clarity and to refer to signposting information relating to historic environment designations, public rights of way and recreational routes	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC37	3.0 The LDP Strategy	Additional text in paragraph 3.3.41	To clarify the approach to be taken by the LDP towards Offa's Dyke and archaeology	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC38	3.0 The LDP Strategy	Amend wording in paragraph 3.3.42-3.3.43	For clarity and to include additional cross-references to other LDP policies and to the list of SPG within Appendix 2 of the LDP	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC39	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Policy DM1 - Amended part of policy wording and delete part of text	For clarity and as deleted text has been re-located to the reasoned justification of Policy DM1	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the

				Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC40	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Amend text in paragraph 4.2.1	To include text re-located from Policy DM1	The change relates to the insertion of relocated text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC41	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Policy DM2 - Additional text	To differentiate the weight and testing that is to be applied to the different designations at European, national level and local level; to identify further local site designations; revision of terminology used; to delete reference to 'net gain'; and amendments for clarity	<p>The change relates to restructuring or reorganising the policy, which does not significantly alter the meaning of the policy – the purpose of the policy is to safeguard biodiversity including the protection of European sites.</p> <p>No further HRA Screening Required.</p> <p>Whilst the proposed changes would not result in a potential to impact upon a European site it is considered that the layout of the policy could result in misinterpretation of the specific requirements relating to different designations, sites and species. As such it is recommended that the policy is restructured to ensure clarity – suggested revised Policy DM2 is provided in Appendix 4 of this report.</p>

MAC42	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Additional text in paragraph 4.2.7	To reference NRW guidance	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC43	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Additional and amended text in paragraph 4.2.8	To reflect additions to the local site designations identified in Policy DM2 and to refer to the Biodiversity SPG	The change will benefit biodiversity and may have a positive effect upon European sites. The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC44	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Policy DM3 - Amend policy wording	To include the requirements re-located from deleted Policy DM13 criterion 9 and revisions to terminology used for clarity	The change relates to the insertion of relocated text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC45	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Additional text in paragraph 4.2.17, paragraphs 4.2.22- 4.2.23, new paragraph 4.2.24, and paragraph 4.2.26	For clarity; updating figures to current Field in Trust Standards; and insert re-located text from reasoned justification of deleted criterion 9 of Policy DM13	The change relates to the insertion of relocated text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.

MAC46	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Amend text in paragraph 4.2.27	For clarity and delete reference to BBNP Plan policy	The change is minor, and relates to accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC47	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Policy DM4 - Delete previous Policy DM3 and replace with new Policy DM4	To provide clear definition of where the policy applies and its implementation; and expand on the role of LANDMAP as a tool for assessing proposals expanded on in the reasoned justification	<p>The change relates to the creation of a new policy which does not have the potential to impact upon a European site.</p> <p>The new Policy was assessed against the criteria identified in Table 5 of the Draft Deposit HRA Screening Report (June 2015), the new Policy was found to meet the criteria of Category A1 – i.e. the policy itself will not itself lead to development e.g. because it relates to design or other qualitative criteria for development, or it is not a land use planning policy, or it relates to a type of development that could not have any conceivable effect on a European site.</p> <p>Following HRA Screening it has been determined that the new policy would not result in a Likely Significant Effect to any European sites (SAC, SPA, Ramsar Sites) or their associated features – either</p>

				alone or in combination with other Plans or projects.
MAC48	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Delete previous reasoned justification in paragraphs 4.2.24-4.2.25 to Policy DM3 and replace with new text new paragraphs 4.2.28-4.2.33, and new paragraph 4.2.36	In order to support the policy as amended (Policy DM4).	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC49	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Insert additional text in paragraph 4.2.37	Inclusion of reference to Welsh Government non-statutory advice, re-located from the reasoned justification to Policy DM5	The change relates to the insertion of relocated text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC50	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Insert new text - new paragraph 4.2.40	To explain the definition of floodplain to include uplands	This change proposes the inclusion of factual details, which do not promote any new development. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC51	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Policy DM6 - Amend part of policy DM6	To ensure that the policy is not more onerous than national policy but is in line with paragraph 10.11 of TAN 15	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy and deletion of text which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC52	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Re-locate final sentence of previous paragraph 4.2.32 to paragraph 4.2.37	To reflect Policy DM6 as amended and to include reference to and	The change relates to restructuring or reorganising the policy, which does not significantly alter the

		Amend text in paragraphs 4.2.44-4.2.45 and add new paragraph 4.2.46. Delete paragraphs 4.2.34-4.2.35	explanation of the associated SPG	meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC53	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Policy DM8 - Amend policy wording	For clarity	The change is minor, and relates to accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC54	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Amend text in paragraph 4.2.52	To make reference to tertiary coal reserves	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC55	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Policy DM9 - Amend policy wording	For clarity and delete criterion 1	The change relates to the amendment of text within the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC56	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Insert additional text in paragraph 4.2.54	For clarity	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.

MAC57	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Amend wording and insert additional text in paragraph 4.2.57	To ensure compliance with MTAN2 regards to coal mining legacies	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC58	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Policy DM11 - Amend part of policy wording	For clarity	The change is minor, and relates to accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC59	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Delete text in paragraph 4.2.60 and insert new paragraph	To support Policy DM11 as amended	The change relates to the deletion of text and insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP.
MAC60	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Policy DM12 - Amend policy wording	For clarity and amendments to the list of Large Villages to reflect those within Community Council areas identified as Welsh Speaking Strongholds	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC61	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Insert additional text in paragraph 4.2.63, paragraph 4.2.65 and paragraph 4.2.68	To include a list of Community Council Areas within the Welsh Speaking Strongholds; to clarify the approach	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the

			towards addressing the cumulative impact of developments; to include a list of Small Villages within Welsh Speaking Strongholds; and amendments for clarity	Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC62	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	<p>Policy DM13</p> <p>Criterion 1 – insertion of word “integration”</p> <p>Criterion 3 – insertion of additional wording</p> <p>Criterion 4 - terminology updated</p> <p>New Criterion 5 inserted</p>	<p>As a consequential change for consistency/uniformity further to the re-drafting of Policy DM4</p> <p>To refer to Conservation Area Management Plans, as a consequential change further to updating the Plan in line with the new Welsh Government (CADW) best-practice guidance</p> <p>To refer to historic assets of special local interest in line with PPW</p> <p>To ensure the protection of tourism assets and attractions and the</p>	The change relates to restructuring or reorganising the policy, which does not significantly alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.

		<p>Criterion 9 is deleted</p> <p>New Criterion 10 is inserted</p> <p>Criterion 10 is deleted</p> <p>The wording “and communities” is inserted into Criterion 13</p> <p>Criterion 14 is amended</p> <p>Part of Criterion 15 is deleted</p>	<p>consequential renumbering of the criteria that follow</p> <p>As this matter is now being addressed by Policy DM3</p> <p>To protect the Public Rights of Way Network and make cross-reference to the recreational assets protected by Policy SP7</p> <p>This is replaced by the revised and updated transport criterion 11</p> <p>For accuracy and clarity</p> <p>To include the protection of soils.</p> <p>The list is relocated, without stipulating a descending order of preference, into reasoned justification (at 4.2.93).</p>	
--	--	---	--	--

MAC63	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Additional text inserted in new paragraph 4.2.75	To support new criterion 5 of Policy DM13	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC64	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Additional text inserted in paragraphs 4.2.77-4.2.78	To reflect updated national legislation and guidance relating to the historic environment	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required
MAC65	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Insert additional text paragraph 4.2.79	To cross-reference to Policy DM3. It should be noted that the remainder of the reasoned justification previously supporting Policy DM13 criterion 9 relating to open space (paragraphs 4.6.43A to 4.6.47A) has been re-located to the reasoned justification for policy DM3	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required
MAC66	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Amend text in paragraphs 4.2.80-4.2.81 and insertion of new paragraphs 4.2.82 - 4.2.83 Deletion of paragraph 4.2.5A	To support criterion 10. and criterion 11; to refer to new Standards Documents; and to	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that

			support Active Travel and the protection and improvement of the Public Rights of Way Network	assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required
MAC67	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Deletion and amendment of paragraph 4.2.85	To text to improve accuracy/consistency	The change is minor, and relates to accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC68	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Additional text in new paragraph 4.2.91 of the reasoned justification	To support changes made in Policy DM13 criterion 14 and to clarify definition of carbon stores/peat	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC69	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Additional text in paragraph 4.2.93 of the reasoned justification	To support revised criterion 15 of Policy DM13 along with a list of options for developer to consider re-located from the policy	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC70	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Amend text in paragraph 4.2.98 (reasoned justification to Policy DM14)	To reflect updated position on the AQMA in Newtown	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the

				Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC71	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Policy DM15 - Insert new Policy DM15	Relating to waste within developments	<p>The change relates to the creation of a new policy which does not have the potential to impact upon a European site.</p> <p>The new Policy was assessed against the criteria identified in Table 5 of the Draft Deposit HRA Screening Report (June 2015), the new Policy was found to meet the criteria of Category A1 – i.e. the policy itself will not itself lead to development e.g. because it relates to design or other qualitative criteria for development, or it is not a land use planning policy, or it relates to a type of development that could not have any conceivable effect on a European site.</p> <p>Following HRA Screening it has been determined that the new policy would not result in a Likely Significant Effect to any European sites (SAC, SPA, Ramsar Sites) or their associated features – either alone or in combination with other Plans or projects.</p>

MAC72	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Additional text in new paragraph 4.2.101	As reasoned justification to support new Policy DM15	The change relates to the creation of a new policy which does not have the potential to impact upon a European site. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC73	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Policy DM16 - Amend policy	To deal with protection of existing employment sites	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC74	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Additional text in paragraph 4.2.102	To cross-refer to new Policy E4 which contains a list of important employment sites to be safeguarded for economic use	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC75	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Additional text in paragraph 4.4.2	To clarify what 'B' uses are appropriate on Prestige Sites	The change is minor, and relates to accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC76	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Policy E1 - Addition of an (*)	To identify sites which are suitable for waste uses (see Policy W1) and insert reference to ancillary uses	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the

				Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC77	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Insert additional wording in paragraph 4.4.4	To refer to ancillary uses	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC78	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Insert text in paragraphs 4.4.5 – 4.4.6	To clarify that Policy E2 relates to urban and rural areas and insert cross-reference to new policies E5 and E6	The change is minor, and relates to accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC79	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Policy E3 - Amend policy wording	To provide definition for ancillary uses and make reference to these supporting the future viability of sites	The change is minor, and relates to accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC80	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Amend and add text in paragraph 4.4.9	To refer to 'considerable proportion' and balance of uses and examples of ancillary uses	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC81	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Policy E4 - Insert new Policy E4	New policy to safeguard existing employment sites, as a result of revising Policy DM16 to	The change relates to the creation of a new policy which does not have the potential to impact upon a European site.

			deal with protection of existing employment sites	<p>The new Policy was assessed against the criteria identified in Table 5 of the Draft Deposit HRA Screening Report (June 2015), the new Policy was found to meet the criteria of Category A1 – i.e. the policy itself will not itself lead to development e.g. because it relates to design or other qualitative criteria for development, or it is not a land use planning policy, or it relates to a type of development that could not have any conceivable effect on a European site.</p> <p>Following HRA Screening it has been determined that the new policy would not result in a Likely Significant Effect to any European sites (SAC, SPA, Ramsar Sites) or their associated features – either alone or in combination with other Plans or projects.</p>
MAC82	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Insert new text in new paragraphs 4.4.11-4.4.13	As reasoned justification to support new Policy E4	The change relates to the creation of a new policy which does not have the potential to impact upon a European site. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC83	4.0 Policies for Making	Insert new text in new paragraph 4.4.17	For clarification on the acceptability of potential uses	The change relates to the creation of a new policy which does not have the potential to impact upon a

	Planning Decisions			European site. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC84	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Policy E6 - Insert new Policy E6	Relating to farm diversification	<p>The change relates to the creation of a new policy which does not have the potential to impact upon a European site.</p> <p>The new Policy was assessed against the criteria identified in Table 5 of the Draft Deposit HRA Screening Report (June 2015), the new Policy was found to meet the criteria of Category A1 – i.e. the policy itself will not itself lead to development e.g. because it relates to design or other qualitative criteria for development, or it is not a land use planning policy, or it relates to a type of development that could not have any conceivable effect on a European site.</p> <p>Following HRA Screening it has been determined that the new policy would not result in a Likely Significant Effect to any European sites (SAC, SPA, Ramsar Sites) or their associated features – either alone or in combination with other Plans or projects.</p>
MAC85	4.0 Policies for Making	Insert new text in new paragraphs 4.4.18-4.4.20	As reasoned justification to support new Policy E6	The change relates to the creation of a new policy which does not have

	Planning Decisions			the potential to impact upon a European site. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC86	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Policy E7 - Insert new Policy E7	Relating to home working	<p>The change relates to the creation of a new policy which does not have the potential to impact upon a European site.</p> <p>The new Policy was assessed against the criteria identified in Table 5 of the Draft Deposit HRA Screening Report (June 2015), the new Policy was found to meet the criteria of Category A1 – i.e. the policy itself will not itself lead to development e.g. because it relates to design or other qualitative criteria for development, or it is not a land use planning policy, or it relates to a type of development that could not have any conceivable effect on a European site.</p> <p>Following HRA Screening it has been determined that the new policy would not result in a Likely Significant Effect to any European sites (SAC, SPA, Ramsar Sites) or their associated features – either alone or in combination with other Plans or projects.</p>

MAC87	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Insert new text in new paragraphs 4.4.21-4.4.23	As reasoned justification to support new Policy E7	The change relates to the creation of a new policy which does not have the potential to impact upon a European site. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC88	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Policy T1 - Revised policy	To reflect need for Active Travel in rural areas and to delete reference to the Welsh Government	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC89	Numbering Omission in MAC Schedule			
MAC90	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Add and delete text new paragraph 4.5.1, paragraph 4.5.2, and new paragraph 4.5.5	To reflect changes to Policy T1 and to insert reference to Mid-Wales Transport Plan and specific road schemes	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC91	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Policy H1 - Amend Policy H1	To clarify the policy approach to housing across the tiers of the hierarchy; To clarify the approach towards the type and scale of housing developments in Small Villages;	The change is minor, and relates to accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.

			To clarify the use of the term 'infill'; and to delete reference to Village Action Plans	
MAC92	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Amend and insert text in paragraphs 4.6.1	To support Policy H1 as amended	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC93	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Re-locate text from paragraph 4.6.3	Text relocated to paragraph 3.3.10 of the Plan	The change relates to restructuring or reorganising the policy, which does not significantly alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC94	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Policy H2 - Policy revisions	Delete list of housing sites and replace with new tables listing housing and mixed use allocations, and housing commitments separately; Delete Housing Land Bank sites (HLBs) from policy (list of HLBs retained in Appendix 1 of the LDP); Remove P42 HA4 further to its inclusion in new Gypsy Site Provision Policy H11.	The change relates to restructuring or reorganising the policy, which does not significantly alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.

			Rename sites P30 HA2, P06 HA1 and P40 HC1 to remove UDP references; Add site P47 HC2 (a completed site in Newbridge-on-Wye) as a housing commitment; Insert new column to show number of units on each site; Identify sites (*) where part of the site is phased beyond the Plan period.	
MAC95	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Delete paragraph 4.6.3B and amend new paragraphs 4.6.3-4.6.4	To reflect changes to Policy H2	The change relates to the deletion and insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC96	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Amend text in paragraph 4.6.6	For consistency with the reasoned justification of Policy DM12	The change relates to the deletion and insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC97	4.0 Policies for Making	Policy H5 - Amendments to policy: Re-arranging opening sentence	To clarify the application of the policy to	The change relates to the deletion and insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its

	Planning Decisions	<p>Deletion criterion 5 as re-located to the reasoned justification of Policy SP3</p> <p>Deletion of text referring to the review of target contributions</p> <p>Amend wording</p> <p>Deletion of criterion 4</p> <p>Replace sentence relating to site specific viability evidence with new text</p>	<p>developments of a particular number/size</p> <p>Text is re-located to the reasoned justification (paragraph 4.6.14)</p> <p>To refer to circumstances where on-site provision would not be 'appropriate'</p> <p>As re-located to paragraph 4.6.15</p> <p>To express this in more neutral terms.</p>	<p>potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.</p>
MAC98	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Insert and delete text in paragraphs 4.6.14-4.6.18	<p>To reflect and support changes to Policy H5 and to include text re-located from the policy and to refer to the new list of Community Council Areas for each sub-market area as set out in Appendix 4 of the Plan;</p> <p>Refer to changes to affordability and affordable housing need;</p>	<p>The change relates to the deletion and insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.</p>

			<p>Clarify the process for reviewing the target contributions through the LDP's AMR;</p> <p>Clarify how commuted sums will be managed and utilised;</p> <p>Clarify the approach towards controlling intermediate forms of affordable housing and cross-refer to Policy SP3;</p> <p>Clarify guidance relating to viability to be provided within the SPG.</p>	
MAC99	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Deletion of text in paragraph 4.6.18-4.6.20 introducing the exception site policy.	<p>Deletion of text introducing the exception site policy. NOTE: Reference to tenure is provided in paragraph 4.6.20 of the reasoned justification to Policy H6.</p>	The change relates to restructuring or reorganising the policy, which does not significantly alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC100	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	New policy H6	Merge policies H5 and H7 into Policy H6	The change relates to the creation of a new policy through the merging of two previous policies which does not have the potential to impact upon a European site. No further HRA Screening Required. Changes made to improve the clarity and effectiveness of the Plan. Changes

				made to amalgamate and consolidate previous policies H5 and H7 into one specific Affordable Housing Exception Sites Policy H6. The new policy does not contain information new to the Plan, instead policy information has been presented in a different format to that in previous version of Plan. Changes also provide clarity, coherence and consistency throughout the Plan. The change will have no effect on the outcome of the previous HRA assessments. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC101	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Re-locate parts of the reasoned justification to policies H5 and H7 paragraphs 4.6.21 and 4.6.26. Deletion of paragraphs 4.6.27- 4.6.29	To support Policy H6. Also delete parts.	The change relates to the creation of a new policy which does not have the potential to impact upon a European site. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC102	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Re-located and amended supporting text from paragraphs 4.6.19-4.6.20 and new paragraph 4.6.21	To reflect the merger of policies H5 and H7 into Policy H6	The change relates to the insertion of new and relocated text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC103	4.0 Policies for Making	Deletion of Policy H8 and paragraph 4.6.31	Reference to local need for affordable housing is	The change relates to deletion of an existing policy which does not alter

	Planning Decisions		made within Policy SP3 and its reasoned justification. Definitions of 'affordable housing' and 'local need' are added into the Glossary of the Plan (Appendix 5 of the Plan)	the potential of the LDP to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC104	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Policy H10 - Amend policy	Due to subdivision of Policy H13 to create a development management policy (H10) and a site allocation policy (H11)	The change relates to the deletion of text and insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC105	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Text retained in paragraphs 4.6.27 and 4.6.28	As reasoned justification to Policy H10	The change relates to restructuring or reorganising the policy, which does not significantly alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC106	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Policy H11 - Insert new policy	Due to subdivision of Policy H10 to provide a site allocation policy	The change relates to the creation of a new policy which does not have the potential to impact upon a European site. Changes made to improve the clarity and effectiveness of the Plan. Changes made to separate previous Policy H10 into two separate policies.

				The new policy does not contain any new information which would result in a potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. Policy information has been presented in a different format to that in previous version of Plan. Changes also provide clarity, coherence and consistency throughout the Plan. The change will have no effect on the outcome of the previous HRA assessments. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC107	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Re-located text from the reasoned justification of Policy H10 into paragraphs 4.6.29-4.6.30	To support Policy H11 and amended to reflect flood risk issues	The change relates to the insertion of relocated text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC108	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Policy R1- Re-wording of policy	For clarity	The change is minor, and relates to accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC109	4.0 Policies for Making	Amend text in paras.4.7.7-4.7.9	To include cross-reference to PPW and TAN 4, and clarification of	The change is minor, and relates to accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of

	Planning Decisions		Town Centre Areas and Frontages, and insert cross-reference to maps of frontages as Appendix 7 to the Plan	the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC110	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Policy R2 - Additional text	To give clarity on the expected split of convenience / comparison and details of overall floor-space	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC111	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Amend text - paragraphs 4.7.10-4.7.11	To reflect changes to Policy R2	The change relates to the deletion of text and insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC112	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Policy R3 - Amend policy	To include percentages referred to in the reasoned justification and to clarify requirements and timescales for marketing	The change will have no effect on the outcome of the HRA. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC113	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Delete text in paragraph 4.7.8, 4.7.12 and 4.7.15 and amend text in paragraphs 4.7.12, 4.7.1, 4.7.15, 4.7.17 and new paragraph 4.7.18	To clarify role and location of frontages and marketing period	The change relates to the deletion of text and insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the

				original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC114	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Deletion of Policy R3	Reference instead made to national planning policy and guidance (Planning Policy Wales and TAN4) relating to out-of-centre retail developments in the reasoned justification to Policy R1 (paragraph 4.7.9)	The change relates to the deletion of existing text from the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC115	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Deletion of text paragraphs 4.7.16-4.7.18	As a result of deletion of Policy R3. Paragraph 4.7.16 re-located to the reasoned justification for policy R1	The change relates to the deletion of existing text from the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC116	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Policy TD2 - Additional text	To clarify where the policy applies	The change is minor, and relates to accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC117	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Additional reasoned justification text in paragraphs 4.8.10-4.8.11	To reflect addition to Policy TD2 and clarify the marketing requirement for the re-use of tourism accommodation	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.

MAC118	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Amend reasoned justification text in paragraph 4.8.14	To include the wider network	The change relates to the deletion of text and insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC119	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Delete Policy W1	Policy replaced with two new policies relating to waste (Policy W1 and Policy W2)	The change relates to deletion of an existing policy which does not alter the potential of the LDP to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC120	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Amend text in paragraphs 4.9.1-4.9.2, new paragraphs 4.9.3-4.9.4, paragraph 4.9.5, and new paragraphs 4.9.6, 4.9.7.	To reflect amendments to Policy W1, inclusion of reference to TAN21 in reasoned justification, updating amendments and re-location of text	The change relates to the creation of a new policy which does not have the potential to impact upon a European site. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC121	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Policy W2 - Insert new Policy W2	New Policy W2 relating to waste management proposals	The change relates to the creation of a new policy which does not have the potential to impact upon a European site. Changes made to improve the clarity and effectiveness of the Plan. Changes made to separate previous Policy W1 into two separate policies. The new policy does not contain information new to the Plan, instead

				<p>policy information has been presented in a different format to that in previous version of Plan. Changes also provide clarity, coherence and consistency throughout the Plan.</p> <p>The change will have no effect on the outcome of the previous HRA assessments. No further HRA Screening Required.</p>
MAC122	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Insert new text in new paragraphs 4.9.11-4.9.15	As reasoned justification to support new Policy W2	The change relates to the creation of a new policy which does not have the potential to impact upon a European site. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC123	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Policy RE1 - Delete policy and replace with new policy relating to renewable energy	To reflect: Introduction of solar Local Search Areas; Additional criteria to determine applications	The new policy seeks to direct Solar PV Farm development towards Local Search Areas identified on the Proposals Map. These locations have been considered through relevant assessment and supporting evidence as the most suitable locations. The policy includes mitigation to ensure that proposals relating to this policy, 'shall not have an unacceptable adverse effect on : The natural environment in accordance with Policy DM2 – The Natural Environment and Strategic Policy SP7 – Safeguarding Strategic

			<p>Resources and Assets'. Together with the mitigation provided by other LDP policies, including Strategic Policy SP7 – Safeguarding of Strategic Resources and Assets, which seeks to manage development to ensure the safeguarding of European sites and wider biodiversity - will continue to help to ensure that there are no likely significant effects on European sites (either alone or in-combination). The revised Policy has been assessed against the criteria identified in Table 5 of the Draft Deposit HRA Screening Report (June 2015), the revised Policy has been found to meet the criteria of Category E2 Lower Tier Assessment i.e. the policy itself:</p> <p><i>Makes provision for a type of development, generally, (and may indicate a broad scale and / or one or more broad locations e.g. a particular part of the Plan area). Some limited uncertainty to the precise nature, scale and type of development. However, any development would be subject to policies contained in the LDP and</i></p>
--	--	--	--

			<p><i>the Habitats Regulations which will ensure that development does not adversely affect European designated sites.</i></p> <p>In summary, the screening of the proposed changes to the LDP Policy RE1 – Renewable Energy have been assessed as not resulting in any changes to the findings of the Draft Deposit HRA Screening Report (June 2015), the Habitat Regulation Assessment Screening of Focussed Changes (January 2016) or Habitat Regulation Assessment Screening of Further Focussed Changes (October 2016).</p> <p>The changes proposed to LDP Policy RE1 are not considered to result in a Likely Significant Effect to any of the European sites (identified in the HRA Screening Report (June 2015)) or their associated features either alone or in combination with other Plans or projects when considering the criteria of the Policy itself as well as existing provisions made by the LDP in other policies with regards to the protection of European sites.</p>
--	--	--	---

				Therefore an Appropriate Assessment is not considered necessary.
MAC124	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Amend text of reasoned justification in paragraphs 4.10.1-4.10.14	To reflect changes to Policy RE1: Include a list of solar Local Search Areas (as Table RE1); Tables RE1 and RE2 replaced with new Tables RE2 and RE3 to reflect latest evidence	The change relates to the deletion of text and insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC125	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Policy M1 - Insert additional text in Table M1	To clarify site names of Berwyn Granite quarries (Pen y Parc & Pen y Graig):	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC126	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Policy M4 - Insert new Policy M4	New Policy relating to minerals proposals	The change relates to the creation of a new policy which does not have the potential to impact upon a European site. The new policy was assessed against the criteria identified in Table 5 of the Draft Deposit HRA Screening Report (June 2015), the new Policy was found to meet the criteria of Category A1 – i.e. the policy itself will not itself lead to development

			<p>e.g. because it relates to design or other qualitative criteria for development, or it is not a land use planning policy, or it relates to a type of development that could not have any conceivable effect on a European site.</p> <p>Specific provisions have been made within the policy to reinforce the need for proposals to ensure the protection of European sites and their associated features either alone or in combination with other Plans or projects, where this cannot be demonstrated the proposal would be considered unacceptable. Criterion 6 identifies that proposals for mineral extraction development and associated infrastructure will only be permitted where they would not result in any significant adverse impacts upon the environment including sites of nature conservation importance and any adverse impacts are demonstrated to be acceptable</p> <p>Following HRA Screening it has been determined that the new policy would not result in a Likely Significant Effect to any European</p>
--	--	--	---

				sites (SAC, SPA, Ramsar Sites) or their associated features – either alone or in combination with other Plans or projects.
MAC127	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Insert new text	As reasoned justification to support new Policy M4	The change relates to the creation of a new policy which does not have the potential to impact upon a European site. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC128	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Insert new Policy M5	New Policy relating to restoration and aftercare	The change relates to the creation of a new policy which does not have the potential to impact upon a European site. The new Policy was assessed against the criteria identified in Table 5 of the Draft Deposit HRA Screening Report (June 2015), the new Policy was found to meet the criteria of Category A1 – i.e. the policy itself will not itself lead to development e.g. because it relates to design or other qualitative criteria for development, or it is not a land use planning policy, or it relates to a type of development that could not have any conceivable effect on a European site. Following HRA Screening it has been determined that the new policy would not result in a Likely Significant Effect to any European

				sites (SAC, SPA, Ramsar Sites) or their associated features – either alone or in combination with other Plans or projects.
MAC129	4.0 Policies for Making Planning Decisions	Insert new text new paragraphs 4.11.11-4.11.12	As reasoned justification to support new Policy M5	The change relates to the creation of a new policy which does not have the potential to impact upon a European site. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC130	5.0 The LDP's Monitoring Framework	Additional text in para.5.4, new paragraphs 5.6-5.8, and paragraph 5.17	To refer to the Planning Performance Framework and to include explanation of the SEA monitoring, along with new title for table of actions - Table 2	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC131	5.0 The LDP's Monitoring Framework	Delete Table 3 previous published table of monitoring	Deleted table replaced with revised monitoring named 'Table 3 Annual Monitoring Framework'	The change relates to the deletion of text and insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC132	Appendix 1	Include indicative phasing for all sites  Insert updated flood assessment information (including a new note)  Amend site details where relevant	For clarity  For clarity  To reflect the Statement of Common Ground	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.

		<p>Delete site P42 HA4 and replace with P42 HC1 (P42 HA5) in Machynlleth and include updated position on the planning application.                  Insert new site P47 HC2, Land at Tyler’s Field, Newbridge-on-Wye</p> <p>Insert new site P57 HC2 in Welshpool</p> <p>Amend site area of P58 HA9, Ystradgynlais</p> <p>Minor textual amendments also made or added</p>	<p>(SoCG) with Dwr Cymru/Welsh Water.</p> <p>For clarity</p> <p>To reflect status of site as a completed housing commitment.</p> <p>To include site which benefits from planning permission</p> <p>To increase the allocated area of land to ensure that the same number of units can be accommodated, alongside the need to retain the wooded area around the point of access into the site</p> <p>To ensure the text reads correctly or up-dates factual information.</p>	
MAC133	Appendix 2	Insert additional column	To set out timescales for adoption of SPG	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which

		<p>Insert policy references</p> <p>Consequential change to the title of SuDS SPG</p> <p>Consequential change</p>	<p>In order to clarify the policies supported by the SPG and to reflect the scope of revised Policy SP7</p> <p>To refer instead to Land Drainage as a result of changes to Policy DM6</p> <p>To reflect terminology used in recent national guidance in respect of local historic assets</p>	<p>does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.</p>
MAC134	Appendix 3	Consequential changes of policy numbering and insertion of new policy details	To reflect changes to policy numbers or titles and	The change does not relate to a specific policy, and therefore cannot affect any European sites when the LDP is implemented. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC135	Appendix 4	Insert list of Community Council areas into Appendix 4	To accompany the map showing the Affordable Housing Sub-market Areas.	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC136	Appendix 5	Amendments to update the definition and meanings included in the Glossary	To reflect changes to the Plan and for consistency with terminology used	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact

			new national policy and guidance.	upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC137	Appendix 6	Insert new Appendix	To set out a list of historic environment designations in the Plan area and signposting to relevant information.	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
MAC138	Appendix 7	Insert new Appendix	To set out Maps of Primary and Secondary Frontages within Town Centres.	The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the Deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.

**APPENDIX 2: SCREENING OF MINOR MATTERS ARISING CHANGES**

Minor Matters Arising Change Reference	Plan Section	Nature of Proposed Change	Reason for Change/Evidence	Additional HRA Screening Required?
mMAC A	Changes affecting the whole Plan	Renumbering and changes to the Plan policies (with consequential updates made throughout the LDP text where policy numbering appears). Paragraphs have also been re-numbered and where paragraph numbers are cross-referenced in the text, these have also been updated	To provide clarity and consistency	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC B	Contents - Contents Page	Updating of the contents table	To reflect the proposed Matters Arising Changes.	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC C	Introduction - Paragraph 1.2 Footnote 1	Update anticipated year of adoption in Footnote 1	To provide clarity and consistency	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC D	Introduction - Paragraphs 1.4 and 1.8 New Footnote 3	Amend title of table in Appendix 1 of the Plan  Typographical correction in para 1.8 and insert new footnote 3 clarifying that the reference to PPW throughout the Plan is to PPW 9th edition	Consequential change  To provide clarity and consistency	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.

mMAC E	Introduction - Paragraph 1.9.1	Amend and re-order Volume 1 bullet points	To reflect the re-structuring of Section 3 “The LDP Strategy”	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC F	The LDP’s Context - Paragraph 2.2.1 Figure 1	Figure 1 amended	To label the adjoining Counties and National Parks and the title of the Figure amended to refer to National Parks	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC G	The LDP’s Context - Para 2.2.4	Reference to ‘built heritage’ replaced with ‘historic environment’	Consequential change to reflect terminology used throughout the Plan and within national guidance:	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC H	The LDP’s Context - Paragraphs . 2.3.1 and 2.3.3	Update amendment	For clarity as a result of amendments to section 2 of the Plan and to reflect changes to the definition of sustainable development within the 9th Edition of PPW (paragraph 1.2.1)	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC I	The LDP’s Context - Paragraph 2.3.4	Typographical Correction	Removal of hyphen plus cross-reference to Figure 3 inserted into the text:	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC J	The LDP’s Context -	Title of Figure amended	For clarity and consistency	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or

	Figure 3 Paragraph 2.3.7 Footnote 7 (deleted)	Footnote 7 deleted	Deleted as linked to old paragraph 3.2.8 which was shown as a deletion in the Deposit, FC and FFC Composite (October 2016)	clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC K	The LDP's Context - Paragraph 2.3.16 Table 1	The following table has been given a number and an amended title Typographical correction in row 8 of the table	For clarity and consistency	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC L	The LDP's Context - Paragraphs 2.3.17 and 2.3.30	Typographical correction, insertion of a comma Typographical correction, remove strike through of 't' in 'the'	For clarity and consistency	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC M	The LDP's Context - Paragraph 2.4.1	Typographical correction, removal of word "to"	For clarity and consistency	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC N	The LDP's Context - Para 2.4.1 Footnote 8	Amend/update date in Footnote 8	For clarity and consistency	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC O	The LDP's Context - Paragraph 2.4.1	Typographical correction, removal of hyphen	For clarity and consistency	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do

	Issue 22			not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC P	The LDP Strategy - Paragraph 3.0.1 Subsection ii)	Consequential updating. Addition of the word “and” to end of sentence in paragraph ii. (a) and deletion of paragraph iv.	To reflect the re-structuring and re-ordering of Section 3 and to provide clarity and consistency	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC Q	The LDP Strategy - Paragraph 3.1.3	Consequential change to Theme 4 and f	To incorporate reference to historic assets to reflect the terminology used throughout the Plan and within national guidance	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC R	The LDP Strategy - Section 3.2	Previous Section 3.5 relocated	So as to be shown earlier in the Plan with consequential renumbering as Section 3.2 and a title change – arising from the re-ordering of Section 3 of the LDP	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC S	The LDP Strategy - Section 3.2.2 Figure 4	As part of the Strategy Section re-structure the Key Diagram has been amended and the figure is relocated to para 3.2.2 so that it is shown earlier in the LDP.  A consequential revision has been made to the diagram title. A new introductory paragraph is inserted for the Key Diagram	To differentiate the Powys LDP area more clearly  For clarity and consistency	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.

mMAC T	The LDP Strategy - Paragraph 3.2.3	Paragraph is moved forward in the Plan to follow the Key Diagram and is amended	To more clearly introduce the Growth and Spatial components which follow. The text detailing the theme titles has been deleted to save repetition	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC U	The LDP Strategy - Paragraph 3.2.11	Consequential change	To refer to Hay-on-Wye as partly in the BBNP area	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC V	The LDP Strategy - Para 3.3.2 Footnote 12	Correction to table number referred to in text  Footnote 12 is updated	For clarity and consistency  To refer to the latest set of Welsh Government household projections	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC W	The LDP Strategy - Para., 3.3.4	Bullet point 3 is amended	To prevent the text becoming outdated:	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC X	The LDP Strategy - Paragraph 3.3.11	Deletion of superfluous text and correction of typographical error	For clarity and consistency	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC Y	The LDP Strategy -	Footnote 14 to para 3.3.12 deleted  Footnote 20 is deleted	Historical information no longer relevant	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do

	Paragraph 3.3.12 Footnote 14 (deleted) Footnote 20 (deleted) Footnote 21 (deleted)	Footnote 21 is deleted	It linked to title 'Relationships between Housing, Employment and Retail Growth' after old paragraph 3.3.18 which was shown as deleted in the Deposit, FC, and FFC Composite (October 2016)  It linked to paragraph 3.4.22 which was shown as deleted in the Deposit , FC, and FFC Composite (October 2016)	not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC Z	The LDP Strategy - Paragraph 3.3.24 Paragraph 3.3.27 Footnote 17 Paragraph 3.3.28	Correction to wording/updating of text within the reasoned justification to Policy SP4 and to Footnote 17	For clarity and consistency	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC AA	The LDP Strategy - Policy SP5	Change to title in table heading under Policy SP5 and refer to Hay-on-Wye as being partly within the BBNP area	For clarity and consistency	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.

mMAC AB	The LDP Strategy - Paragraph 3.3.39	Change to wording	For uniformity	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC AC	Policies for Making Planning Decisions - Development Management Policies - Paragraph 4.1.3 Table DM1	Title added to Table DM1	For clarity and consistency	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC AD	Policies for Making Planning Decisions - Development Management Policies - Paragraph 4.2.1 Footnote 19	Updating of the footnote	To reflect latest Planning Policy Wales	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC AE	Policies for Making Planning Decisions - Development Management Policies -	Addition of cross reference to Appendix 2 of the Plan	For clarity and consistency	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.

	Paragraph 4.2.2			
mMAC AF	Policies for Making Planning Decisions - Development Management Policies - Paragraphs 4.2.11 and 4.2.16	Typographical amendment - Insertion of hyphen in para 4.2.11 and addition of cross-reference to Appendix 2 of the Plan in para 4.2.16	For clarity and consistency	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC AG	Policies for Making Planning Decisions - Development Management Policies - Paragraph 4.2.25 (deleted) Footnote 28 (deleted) New Footnote 21	Consequential deletion of Footnote 28	The text which referenced it has been deleted	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC AH	Policies for Making Planning Decisions - Development	Typographical correction to the spelling of Llanfair Caereinion in the list of Towns within Policy DM12  Typographical correction of 'windfalls' to 'windfall'	For clarity and consistency	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.

	Management Policies - DM12 Paragraph 4.2.68			
mMAC AI	Policies for Making Planning Decisions - Development Management Policies - Paragraph 4.2.84	Additional wording to reasoned justification	To add clarity and to introduce appropriate cross-referencing to Policy DM2 with regard to protection of ground water resources	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC AJ	Policies for Making Planning Decisions - Development Management Policies - Paragraph 4.2.86	Consequential change	As a result of amending the title of the table in Appendix 1 of the Plan and change/updating of date	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC AK	Policies for Making Planning Decisions - Development Management Policies -	Removal of the word 'more' for accuracy Removal of the words 'large scale'	For clarity and consistency	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.

	Paragraphs 4.2.96 and 4.2.100			
mMAC AL	Policies for Making Planning Decisions - Topic Based Policies - Paragraph 4.3.1	Amend section title	To clarify that the policies are based on topics along with addition of introductory sentence to the Section for clarity	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC AM	Policies for Making Planning Decisions - Topic Based Policies - Paragraph 4.4.15	Consequential change	To refer to 'historic' assets for consistency with terminology used throughout the Plan and its Glossary, and within national guidance:	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC AN	Policies for Making Planning Decisions - Topic Based Policies - Paragraph 4.4.16	Correct word omission	For clarity and consistency	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC AO	Policies for Making Planning Decisions -	Consequential deletion of Footnote 28	The text referencing it has been deleted	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do

	Topic Based Policies - Paragraph 4.6.15 Footnote 28 (deleted)			not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC AP	Policies for Making Planning Decisions - Topic Based Policies - Paragraph 4.6.27 (deleted) Footnote 29 (deleted) Paragraph 4.6.28 Footnote 28	Consequential deletion of Footnote 29  Update hyperlink to the standards referred to under paragraph 4.2.68	The text referencing it has been deleted  For clarity and consistency	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC AQ	Policies for Making Planning Decisions - Topic Based Policies - (deleted) Footnote 30 (deleted)	Consequential deletion of Footnote 30	The text referencing it has been deleted	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.

mMAC AR	Policies for Making Planning Decisions - Topic Based Policies - Policy R1	Deletion text	Deletion of column headings in table within Policy R1	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC AS	Policies for Making Planning Decisions - Topic Based Policies - Paragraph 4.7.3 Footnote 29	Updating of the footnote to reflect latest Planning Policy Wales	For clarity and consistency	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC AT	Policies for Making Planning Decisions - Topic Based Policies - Paragraph 4.7.8 Footnote 31	Updating of the footnote	To reflect latest Planning Policy Wales	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC AU	Policies for Making Planning Decisions - Topic Based Policies - Paragraph 4.7.4	Amendments	For clarity and consistency	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.

mMAC AV	Policies for Making Planning Decisions - Topic Based Policies - Paragraph 4.7.18 (deleted) Footnote 36 (deleted) Footnote 37 (deleted)	Consequential deletion of Footnote 36  Consequential deletion of Footnote 37	The text referencing it has been deleted  The text referencing it has been deleted	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC AW	Policies for Making Planning Decisions - Topic Based Policies - Policy M1 Table M1 Policy M2	Deletion of superfluous words in criterion ii Policy M1  Insertion of the word "Table" to M1  Deletion of word 'permanent'	For clarity and consistency  As mineral workings are by their nature a temporary use of land	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC AX	The LDP's Monitoring Framework - Section 5.0	Removal of introductory note	Due to this stage having now passed	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC AY	The LDP's Monitoring Framework -	Insertion of table numbering and titles to the two tables in the Monitoring Framework	For clarity and consistency	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do

	Paragraphs 5.16 and 5.17			not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC AZ	Appendix 1	Consequential change to title of Appendix 1 of the Plan and to title of the table containing Housing Land Bank Sites (HLB)	For clarity and consistency	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC BA	Appendix 1	Consequential re-naming of P30 HA2, Llanfair Caereinion, P06 HA1, Boughrood & Lyswen, P40 HC1, Llanymynech	To remove UDP references	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.
mMAC BB	Appendix 2	Consequential change to delete reference to site allocations table	As a result of re-naming of table in Appendix 1 of the Plan	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the policy. No further HRA Screening Required.

**APPENDIX 3: SCREENING OF MATTERS ARISING CHANGES REGARDING PROPOSALS AND INSET MAPS**

Nature of Change	Reason for Change	MAC Reference	HRA Screening Assessment
Addition of Welsh Language Strongholds to the Map	To Support Policy DM12 – Welsh Language Speaking Strongholds	M1, M2, M3, M4, M5, M6, M7, M8, M15, M17, M18, M22, M23, M28, M32, M33, M35, M38, M39, M40, M48, M49, M53, M56, M57, M58, M59, M60, M61, M63, M65	<p>The changes made to the maps are a consequential result of the amendments made to Policy DM12, these changes have been assessed through the HRA screening of MAC60.</p> <p>The changes relate to the insertion of new text into Policy DM12 which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the deposit LDP.</p> <p>No further HRA Screening Required.</p>
Addition of Solar Local Search Area	To Support Revised Policy RE1 – Renewable Energy	M2, M5, M6, M7, M8, M9, M10, M11, M12, M14, M15, M16, M31	<p>The changes made to the maps are a consequential result of the amendments made to Policy RE1, these changes have been assessed through the HRA screening of MAC123.</p> <p>The screening of the proposed changes to the LDP Policy RE1 – Renewable Energy have been assessed as not resulting in any changes to the findings of the Draft Deposit HRA Screening Report (June 2015), the Habitat Regulation Assessment Screening of Focussed</p>

			<p>Changes (January 2016) or Habitat Regulation Assessment Screening of Further Focussed Changes (October 2016).</p> <p>The changes proposed to LDP Policy RE1 are not considered to result in a Likely Significant Effect to any of the European sites (identified in the HRA Screening Report (June 2015)) or their associated features either alone or in combination with other Plans or projects when considering the criteria of the Policy itself as well as existing provisions made by the LDP in other policies with regards to the protection of European designated sites.</p> <p>No further HRA Screening Required.</p>
Removal of Wind Local Search Area	To Reflect Revised Policy RE1 – Renewable Energy	M1, M2, M3, M5, M10, M11, M12, M13, M14, M15, M16	<p>The changes made to the maps are a consequential result of the amendments made to Policy RE1, these changes have been assessed through the HRA screening of MAC123.</p> <p>The screening of the proposed changes to the LDP Policy RE1 – Renewable Energy have been assessed as not resulting in any changes to the findings of the Draft Deposit HRA Screening Report (June</p>

			<p>2015), the Habitat Regulation Assessment Screening of Focussed Changes (January 2016) or Habitat Regulation Assessment Screening of Further Focussed Changes (October 2016).</p> <p>The changes proposed to LDP Policy RE1 are not considered to result in a Likely Significant Effect to any of the European sites (identified in the HRA Screening Report (June 2015)) or their associated features either alone or in combination with other Plans or projects when considering the criteria of the Policy itself as well as existing provisions made by the LDP in other policies with regards to the protection of European designated sites.</p> <p>No further HRA Screening Required.</p>
Removal of Solar Local Search Area	To Reflect Revised Policy RE1 – Renewable Energy	M5, M7, M8, M9, M10, M11, M12, M13, M14, M15, M16, M17, M22, M23, M34, M41, M56, M57, M58, M59, M60, M61, M66, M67	<p>The changes made to the maps are a consequential result of the amendments made to Policy RE1, these changes have been assessed through the HRA screening of MAC123.</p> <p>The screening of the proposed changes to the LDP Policy RE1 – Renewable Energy have been assessed as not resulting in any</p>

			<p>changes to the findings of the Draft Deposit HRA Screening Report (June 2015), the Habitat Regulation Assessment Screening of Focussed Changes (January 2016) or Habitat Regulation Assessment Screening of Further Focussed Changes (October 2016).</p> <p>The changes proposed to LDP Policy RE1 are not considered to result in a Likely Significant Effect to any of the European sites (identified in the HRA Screening Report (June 2015)) or their associated features either alone or in combination with other Plans or projects when considering the criteria of the Policy itself as well as existing provisions made by the LDP in other policies with regards to the protection of European designated sites.</p> <p>No further HRA Screening Required.</p>
Removal of Tertiary Coal Reserves	To Be Consistent With the Reasoned Justification To Policy DM8 – Minerals Safeguarding	M6, M17, M24, M64	<p>The screening of the proposed changes to the LDP Policy RE1 – Renewable Energy have been assessed as not resulting in any changes to the findings of the Draft Deposit HRA Screening Report (June 2015), the Habitat Regulation Assessment Screening of Focussed</p>

			Changes (January 2016) or Habitat Regulation Assessment Screening of Further Focussed Changes (October 2016). No further HRA Screening Required.
Addition of Bronllys Health Park Symbol	To Support Policy E5 – Bronllys Health Park	M1, M16, M19	This change proposes the inclusion of factual details, which do not promote any new development. No further HRA Screening Required.
Addition of Employment Safeguarding Area to the map	To Support Policy E4 - Safeguarded Employment Sites	M1, M20, M21, M25, M27, M29, M33, M36, M37, M40, M43, M45, M46, M47, M50, M51, M52, M54, M55, M58, M61, M62	The changes made to the maps are a consequential result of the amendments made to Policy E4, these changes have been assessed through the HRA screening of MAC81. The change relates to the creation of a new policy which does not have the potential to impact upon a European site. Policy E4 was assessed against the criteria identified in Table 5 of the Draft Deposit HRA Screening Report (June 2015), the new policy was found to meet the criteria of Category A1 – i.e. the policy itself will not itself lead to development e.g. because it relates to design or other qualitative criteria for development, or it is not a land use Planning policy, or it relates to a

			<p>type of development that could not have any conceivable effect on a European site.</p> <p>Following HRA Screening it has been determined that the new policy would not result in a Likely Significant Effect to any European sites (SAC, SPA, Ramsar Sites) or their associated features – either alone or in combination with other Plans or projects.</p> <p>No further HRA Screening Required.</p>
Correction of labelling issue on Housing Allocations	Correction of an error	M26, M30	<p>The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the Plan.</p> <p>No further HRA Screening Required.</p>
Amendment to Housing Allocation P34 HA1 to remove land and to include the access road	To improve clarity	M35	<p>The proposed change relates to the amendment of boundary of the allocated site P34 HA1. The allocated site is not new to the plan and has previously been assessed during HRA screening of the Plan. It is considered that the proposed amendments do not alter the sites potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the deposit LDP.</p> <p>No further HRA Screening Required.</p>

			<i>NB. It has been identified that development of this site will require Project level HRA screening in relation to the Tanat and Vyrnwy Bat Sites SAC, the Berwyn and South Clwyd Mountains SAC and Berwyn SPA as identified in Appendix 1 of the Plan.</i>
Addition of Primary Shopping Frontage	Correction of an omission	M36	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the Plan. No further HRA Screening Required.
Correction of labelling error on Employment Allocations	Correction of an error	M37	The change is minor, and relates to spelling, grammar, or accuracy or clarification of wording, which do not alter the meaning of the Plan. No further HRA Screening Required.
Removal of Gypsy and Traveller Site P42 HA4 and Addition of site P42 HC1	To Support Revised Policy H11 – Gypsy and Traveller Site Provision	M40	The changes made to the maps are a consequential result of the amendments made to Policy H11, these changes have been assessed through the HRA screening of MAC94, MAC106, MAC107 and MAC132. The proposed change reflects the inclusion of the committed site identified to support Policy H11. The site has been granted Planning Permission and consideration of the

			<p>sites potential to impact European sites was considered by Development Management – no impacts were identified. It is considered that the proposed amendments do not alter the sites potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the deposit LDP.</p> <p>No further HRA Screening Required.</p>
<p>Addition of Housing Commitment P47 HC2 to the map</p>	<p>To Support Policy H2 – Housing Sites</p>	<p>M42</p>	<p>The changes made to the maps are a consequential result of the amendments made to Appendix 1 of the Plan, these changes have been assessed through the HRA screening of MAC94 and MAC132.</p> <p>The proposed change reflects the inclusion of the committed site identified to support Policy H2. The site has been granted Planning Permission and consideration of the sites potential to impact European sites was considered by Development Management – no impacts were identified. It is considered that the proposed amendments do not alter the sites potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed</p>

			in the original HRA of the deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
Removal of Air Quality Management Area from the map	To reflect the revised Reasoned Justification to DM14 – Air Quality Management	M1, M44	The changes made to the maps are a consequential result of the amendments made to Policy DM14, these changes have been assessed through the HRA screening of MAC70. The change relates to the insertion of new text into the policy which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the deposit LDP. No further HRA Screening Required.
Addition of Gypsy and Traveller site P57 HC2	To Support Policy H11 – Gypsy and Traveller Site Provision	M54	The changes made to the maps are a consequential result of the amendments made to Policy H11, these changes have been assessed through the HRA screening of MAC106, MAC107 and MAC132. The proposed change reflects the inclusion of the committed site identified to support Policy H11. The site has been granted Planning Permission and consideration of the sites potential to impact European sites was considered by

			<p>Development Management – no impacts were identified.</p> <p>It is considered that the proposed amendments do not alter the sites potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the deposit LDP.</p> <p>No further HRA Screening Required.</p>
<p>Amendment to P58 HA9 and consequential change to Development Boundary</p>	<p>To ensure the allocated number of units can be accommodated</p>	<p>M60</p>	<p>The changes made to the maps are a consequential result of the amendments made to Appendix 1 of the Plan, these changes have been assessed through the HRA screening of MAC132.</p> <p>Additional land has been included within the site boundary to allow for the retention of a wooded area around the point of access to the site.</p> <p>The change relates to the insertion of new text into the Plan which does not alter its potential to impact upon a European site, beyond that assessed in the original HRA of the deposit LDP.</p> <p>No further HRA Screening Required.</p>

## APPENDIX 4: PROPOSED REVISED WORDING TO LDP POLICY DM2

### Policy DM2 – The Natural Environment

**5. At the site level, proposals for Development proposals shall demonstrate how they protect, positively manage and enhance biodiversity and geodiversity interests to produce a net gain both at the particular site, including improving the resilience of biodiversity through the enhanced connectivity of habitats within, and beyond the site.**

Development proposals which **would** impact on the following natural environment features **assets** will only be permitted where they do not unacceptably adversely affect:

#### **1. Site Designations, Habitats and Species**

**1. The important habitats, species and site designations, habitats and species listed below from i. to vi. are afforded the highest levels of protection and will be protected from any development that would harm their distinctive features or characteristics. through European legislation including:**

##### **A. i. European Protected Sites (SAC, SPA and Ramsar).**

**i. Development proposals likely to have a significant effect on a European protected site, when considered alone or in combination with other proposals or Plans, will only be permitted where it can be demonstrated that:**

- a) The proposal is directly connected with or necessary for the protection, enhancement and positive management of the site for conservation purposes; or**
- b) The proposal will not adversely affect the integrity of the site.**

**ii. Where it cannot be demonstrated that development proposals would not adversely affect the integrity of the site and there is no satisfactory alternative solution, permission will be refused unless:**

- a) There are imperative reasons of over-riding public interest; and**
- b) Appropriate compensatory measures are secured.**

##### **ii. European Protected Habitats (Natura 2000 Annex 1 habitats).**

**B. European Protected Species afforded strict protection by the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as amended) (Habitats Directive Annex IV Species).**

Development proposals likely to have an adverse effect on a European Protected Species will only be permitted where it can be demonstrated that:

- a) The proposal is for the purposes of preserving public health or public safety or there are imperative reasons of over-riding public interest; and
- b) There is no satisfactory alternative; and
- c) The action authorised will not be detrimental to the maintenance of the habitat or population of the species concerned at a favourable conservation status in their natural range.

**2. The important site designations, habitats and species afforded levels of protection in line with national policy and legislation including:**

- A. ~~iii.~~ National Nature Reserves and Sites of Special Scientific Interest;
- B. ~~iv.~~ Protected Species including those listed in the ~~Habitats Directive (Natura 2000 Annex 2 Species)~~ and the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) and the Protection of Badgers Act 1992;
- C. ~~v.~~ Habitats and Species of principal importance for the purpose of maintaining and enhancing biodiversity conservation in Wales as listed in Section 7 of the Environment (Wales) Act 2016; and
- D. ~~vi.~~ National Biodiversity Action Plan Habitats and Species.

Development proposals likely to have an adverse effect on the conservation value of nationally protected sites, habitats or species, either directly, indirectly or in combination, will only be permitted where it can be demonstrated that:

- i. The proposal contributes to the protection, enhancement or positive management of the site, habitat or species; or
- ii. There is no suitable alternative to the proposed development; and
  - a) It can be demonstrated that the benefits from the development clearly outweigh the special interest of the site, habitat or species; and
  - b) Appropriate compensatory measures are secured; and
  - c) The population or range and distribution of the habitat or species will not be adversely impacted.

**3. The locally important site designations, habitats and species including:**

- A. ~~vii.~~ Local Nature Reserves;
- B. ~~vi.~~ Local Biodiversity Action Plan Habitats and Species;
- C. ~~viii.~~ Wildlife Trust Reserves, ~~and Sites.~~ Road Verge Nature Reserves, Sites of Interest for Nature Conservation and Local Wildlife Sites; and
- D. ~~ix.~~ Regionally Important ~~Geological~~ Geodiversity Sites and Geological Conservation Review Sites.

~~In relation to site designations vii. to ix. below, d~~ Development proposals likely to have an adverse impact upon these sites, habitats or species will only be permitted where it can be demonstrated that:

- i. ~~(a)~~ They conserve and where possible enhance the natural heritage importance of the site, habitat or species; or
  - ii. ~~(b)~~ The development could not reasonably be located elsewhere; and
    - a) The benefits of the development outweigh the natural heritage importance of the site, habitat or species; and
    - b) ~~(c)~~ Mitigation and/or compensation measures will need to be agreed are provided where adverse effects are unavoidable.
4. ~~2.~~ The achievement of the Water Framework Directive's overarching objectives.
5. ~~3.~~ Trees, woodlands and hedgerows of significant public amenity, natural or cultural heritage.

## APPENDIX 5: PROPOSED REVISED WORDING TO LDP APPENDIX 3

### Appendix 4.3 – Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA)

In line with the Habitats Regulations it will be necessary for project level assessments to be undertaken where there is a potential for significant effects from development supported by LDP policies on the corresponding European sites, buffer maps of which are contained in Appendix 2 of the HRA Screening Report (June 2015).

Any development project that could have an adverse effect on integrity of a European site will not be in accordance with the development plan, within the meaning of S.38 (6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

Taking into account the potential for transboundary impacts the HRA screening has identified 52 European sites that lie within the potential influence of the Powys Local Development Plan.

There are 21 European sites located wholly or partially within the area of the County of Powys:

- 10 of which are wholly within the County of Powys,
- 11 of which are partially within County of Powys and partially within one or more neighbouring local authorities.

A further 31 European sites are located outside of the County of Powys but within 15 kilometres of the Powys boundary and have therefore been identified as within the influence of the Powys LDP.

European Sites Located wholly within or partially within County of Powys Boundary	European Sites Located within 15km of County of Powys Boundary
Berwyn & South Clwyd Mountains SAC	Aberbargoed Grasslands SAC
Berwyn SPA	Afon Eden - Cors Goch Trawsfynydd SAC
Brecon Beacons SAC	Blaen Cynon SAC
Coedydd Llwr-y-Glyn SAC	Cadair Idris SAC
Coedydd Nedd a Mellte SAC	Cernydd Carmel SAC
Cors Fochno and Dyfi Ramsar	Coed Cwm Einion SAC
Drostre Bank SAC	Coed y Cerrig SAC
Dyfi Estuary SPA	Cors Caron SAC
Elan Valley Woodlands SAC	Cors Caron Ramsar
Elenydd SAC	Cors Fochno SAC
Elenydd - Mallaen SPA	Craig yr Aderyn (Bird's Rock) SPA
Granllyn SAC	Crymlyn Bog Ramsar
Llangorse Lake SAC	Crymlyn Bog SAC

European Sites Located wholly within or partially within County of Powys Boundary	European Sites Located within 15km of County of Powys Boundary
<p>Llyn Peninsula and the Sarnau SAC</p> <p>Montgomery Canal SAC</p> <p>Mynydd Epynt SAC</p> <p>Rhos Goch SAC</p> <p>River Usk SAC</p> <p>River Wye SAC</p> <p>Tanat and Vyrnwy Bat Sites SAC</p> <p>Usk Bat Sites SAC</p>	<p>Cwm Cadlan SAC</p> <p>Cwm Clydach Woodlands SAC</p> <p>Cwm Doethie - Mynydd Mallaen SAC</p> <p>Downton Gorge SAC</p> <p>Grogwynion SAC</p> <p>Johnstown Newt Sites SAC</p> <p>Lyn Tegid Ramsar</p> <p>Meirionnydd Oakwoods and Bat Sites SAC</p> <p>Midland Meres &amp; Mosses Ramsar</p> <p>Migneint-Arenig-Dduallt SAC</p> <p>Migneint-Arenig-Dduallt SPA</p> <p>Rheidol Woods and Gorge SAC</p> <p>River Clun SAC</p> <p>River Dee and Bala Lake SAC</p> <p>River Teifi SAC</p> <p>River Tywi SAC</p> <p>Sugar Loaf Woodlands SAC</p> <p>The Stiperstones &amp; The Hollies SAC</p>

Policy	Relevant Natura 2000 sites
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Policy E1 – Employment Proposals on Allocated Employment Sites</li> <li>● Policy R1 – New Retail Development</li> <li>● Policy R2 – Development Within Town-Centre Areas</li> <li>● Policy R3 – Large Out-of-Centre Retail Developments</li> </ul>	<p>Granllyn</p> <p>Montgomery Canal</p> <p>Dyfi Estuary</p> <p>Llyn Peninsula and Sarnau</p> <p>River Wye</p> <p>Tanat and Vyrnwy Bat Sites</p> <p>Cors Fochno</p> <p>Berwyn and South Clwyd Mountains</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Policy E4 – Bronllys Health Park</li> </ul>	<p>River Wye</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Policy H5 – Affordable Housing Exception Sites</li> <li>● Policy C1 – Community Facilities and Indoor Recreation</li> </ul>	<p>Granllyn</p> <p>Llangorse Lake</p> <p>Montgomery Canal</p> <p>Dyfi Estuary</p> <p>Llyn Peninsula and Sarnau</p> <p>Berwyn and South Clwyd Mountains</p> <p>River Wye</p>

	Tanat and Vyrnwy Bat Sites Blaen Cynon Cors Fochno River Usk
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Policy E2 – Employment Proposals on Non-allocated Employment Sites</li> <li>● Policy T1 – Transport Infrastructure</li> <li>● Policy H1 - Housing Provision</li> <li>● Policy H1A – Housing Sites</li> <li>● Policy H7 - Rural Affordable Homes</li> <li>● Policy H11 – Renovation of Abandoned Dwellings</li> <li>● Policy H12 – Replacement Dwellings</li> <li>● Policy H13 – Gypsy and Traveller Sites and Caravans</li> <li>● Policy TD1 – Tourism Development</li> <li>● Policy W1 – Waste</li> <li>● Policy M1 – Existing Minerals Sites</li> <li>● Policy M3 – Borrow Pits</li> <li>● Policy RE1 – Renewable Energy</li> </ul>	Coetiroedd Cwm Elan Drostre Bank Granllyn Llangorse Lake Montgomery Canal Mynydd Epynt Rhos Goch Dyfi Estuary Llyn Peninsula and Sarnau Berwyn and South Clwyd Mountains Elenydd River Usk River Wye Tanat and Vyrnwy Bat Sites Usk Bat Sites Meirionnydd Oakwood and bat sites Blaen Cynon Cors Fochno
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Policy R4 – Neighbourhood and Village Shops and Services</li> </ul>	Granllyn Llangorse Lake Montgomery Canal Dyfi Estuary Llyn Peninsula and Sarnau Berwyn and South Clwyd Mountains River Usk River Wye Tanat and Vyrnwy Bat Sites Meirionnydd Oakwood and bat sites Cors Fochno Blaen Cynon
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Policy TD3 – Montgomery Canal and Associated Development</li> </ul>	Tanat and Vyrnwy Bat Sites Montgomery Canal
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Policy MD1 – Development proposals by the MOD</li> </ul>	Mynydd Epynt River Usk
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Policy R1A – Retail Allocations</li> </ul>	River Wye

